SHEFFIELD CITY COUNCIL

EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONS DECISION RECORD

The following decision was taken on Wednesday 19 June 2013 by the Cabinet.

Date notified to all members: Friday 21 June 2013

The end of the call-in period is 4:00 pm on Thursday 27 June 2013

The decision can be implemented from Friday 28 June 2013

DECISION 8

8. COUNCIL HOMES NEW BUILD PROGRAMME

- 8.1 The Executive Director, Place submitted a report in relation to the Council Homes New Build Programme and recommending that Cabinet approve the acquisition of 30 new build homes from the Sheffield Housing Company as set out in Section 4 of the report as being Phase 1 of the Council's new build programme as identified in the HRA Business Plan 2012/17.
- 8.2 RESOLVED: That Cabinet:-
 - (a) approves the acquisition of 30 new build homes from the Sheffield Housing Company, as set out in Section 4 of the report, as being Phase 1 of the Council's new build programme as identified in the HRA Business Plan 2012/17; and
 - (b) delegates authority to the Director of Capital and Major Projects to negotiate and agree terms for the individual purchases of these 30 homes in consultation with the Director of Commissioning and to instruct the Director of Legal and Governance Services to complete the necessary legal documentation in respect of the acquisition of those properties.

8.3 Reasons for Decision

- 8.3.1 To bring forward earlier the provision of new Council housing, in the form of Phase 1 acquisitions to increase the supply of much needed social rented housing in the City. This is contributing to making these three neighbourhoods a great place to live by ensuring continued investment into Sheffield's Council new housing stock and building on previously derelict land.
- 8.3.2 In relation to the first phase, to capitalise on the significant work which the Council has already done in working with the Sheffield Housing Company to generate high quality and sustainable properties which are good value for money and which by design can respond to tenant's changing and

particular housing needs.

8.3.3 The completion of new homes will generate additional New Homes Bonus money which the Council can direct as further investment to promote house building and neighbourhood generation, as well as being a mechanism to recycle the money received under the Right to Buy Scheme and the agreement which the Council had previously signed up to.

8.4 Alternatives Considered and Rejected

8.4.1 The first is that the Council itself should undertake to design and build all of the 75 homes proposed in the programme. Such a significant new build programme invariably takes a considerable time to set up with the need to identify parcels of land, complete design and project briefs, appoint design teams, undertake public consultation, achieve planning permission, specify and tender the project and oversee construction. All this requires considerable project management resources which are already under pressure within the Council. Leading a new build development from start to finish also carries a huge financial risk compared to acquisitions. At this point it is still expected to be able to deliver the full programme within the 3 year timescale described in the HRA Business Plan; however it should be remembered that starting from scratch will make this less easy to complete.

There are considerable advantages over time to the Council designing and building its own homes as it would have greater control over the specification and type of property to be delivered and would have an opportunity to consider a wider geographical area for its development sites; hence the need to run these two phases in tandem.

Another way of designing and building Council homes is to commission a third party to undertake the development work and to run the build programme. This would reduce the risk to the Council in terms of design responsibility, timescale and to an extent the budget for delivery. One mechanism to help with this could be to exploit the Homes and Community Agency Developer Framework, however this would still require a tender package and the associated site investigation, design work and land due diligence. It is suggested this could be further examined for phase 2, being one of a number of options to be considered in the future, but as it stands this would not deliver any early new build properties.

8.4.2 The second option considered was to purchase properties 'off the shelf' from private developers who were either already on site or have properties built but not sold. This did represent a quick option for delivery, however it was rejected as this would limit housing choice, the quality could not be assured in the same way as that provided by the Sheffield Housing Company with the Council's own involvement, the space standards would be lower and no mobility or wheelchair housing is immediately available. The ability to secure a financial discount across a number of developers would in all likelihood be less than that secured through the Sheffield Housing Company although in the latter's case this is being provided

across three sites increasing the geographical spread of properties.

8.4.3 In addition the build costs themselves will not have been scrutinised in the same way as that of the Sheffield Housing Company. The Council under the 'off the shelf' option will be buying straight from the market and will be paying an open market value for the properties with no recourse to the knowledge of how that purchase price will have been built up. In the case of the Housing Company, the build cost elements were subject to an initial tender process, they were then further checked by the Council's in-house quantity surveying service. The cost plan then went through a further check undertaken by Gleeds, an external cost consultant firm and build costs continue to be monitored by the company itself using a further firm of cost consultants Hall and Partners.

8.5 Any Interest Declared or Dispensation Granted

None

8.6 Reason for Exemption if Public/Press Excluded During Consideration

None

8.7 Respective Director Responsible for Implementation

Simon Green, Executive Director, Place

8.8 Relevant Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee If Decision Called In

Safer and Stronger Communities

DECISION 9

BUDGET OUTTURN 2012/13

- 9.1 The Executive Director, Resources submitted a report providing the final year-end position on the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme for 2012/13, subject to review by the external auditors.
- 9.2 **RESOLVED:** That Cabinet:-
 - (a) notes the updated information and management actions provided by the report on the 2012/13 outturn; and
 - (b) In relation to the Capital Programme:-
 - (i) approves the proposed additions to the capital programme, listed in Appendix 4 of the report, including the procurement strategies and delegations of authority to the Director of

Commercial Services or Delegated Officer, as appropriate, to award the necessary contracts following stage approval by Capital Programme Group;

- (ii) approves the proposed variations in Appendix 4 of the report;
- (iii) notes the proposed slippage adjustments to the Capital Programme in 2012/13, and delegates to the Cabinet Member for Finance and Resources the authority to approve such adjustments on conclusion of any necessary review; and
- (iv) notes the latest position on the Capital Programme.

9.3 Reasons for Decision

9.3.1 To formally record changes to the Revenue Budget and the Capital Programme and gain Member approval for changes in line with Financial Regulations and to reset the Capital Programme in line with latest information.

9.4 Alternatives Considered and Rejected

- 9.4.1 A number of alternative courses of action are considered as part of the process undertaken by Officers before decisions are recommended to Members. The recommendations made to Members represent what Officers believed to be the best options available to the Council, in line with Council priorities, given the constraints on funding and the use to which funding is put within the Revenue Budget and Capital Programme.
- 9.5 Any Interest Declared or Dispensation Granted

None

9.6 Reason for Exemption if Public/Press Excluded During Consideration

None

9.7 Respective Director Responsible for Implementation

Laraine Manley, Executive Director, Resources

9.8 Relevant Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee If Decision Called In

Overview and Scrutiny

DECISION 10

10. SHEFFIELD CITY TRUST'S FINANCING OBLIGATIONS

10.1 The Executive Director, Resources submitted a report outlining the potential to change the way the Council funds Sheffield City Trust to meet its financing obligations.

10.2 **RESOLVED:** That Cabinet:-

- (a) approves the principle of restructuring the funding arrangements with Sheffield City Trust (SCT) to allow SCT to repay their obligations early;
- (b) delegates authority to finalise the agreement to the Executive Director, Resources, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Finance and Resources, and delegates authority to approve a scheme for restructuring the funding arrangements, including without limitation any scheme that varies from the one recommended in the report;

10.3 Reasons for Decision

10.3. The proposal will deliver savings to the Council in an efficient manner.

1

10.4 Alternatives Considered and Rejected

- One option reviewed involved changing the existing legal arrangements
 with Sheffield City Trust. This option was rejected as it poses insurmountable obstacles that were not in the Council's control.
- 10.4. Another option reviewed involved the extension of the debt term to createfurther savings. This option was not recommended as it extended the period of debt.
- 10.5 Any Interest Declared or Dispensation Granted

None

10.6 Reason for Exemption if Public/Press Excluded During Consideration

None

10.7 Respective Director Responsible for Implementation

Laraine Manley, Executive Director, Resources

10.8 Relevant Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee If Decision Called In

Overview and Scrutiny

DECISION 11

11. HOME TO SCHOOL TRANSPORT POLICY

- 11.1 The Executive Director, Children, Young People and Families submitted a report providing a summary of the responses to the consultation process on the proposal to discontinue the discretionary free bus passes that were currently provided under the current Home to School Transport Policy for attendance at Catholic Schools with effect from September 2013 and seeking approval on the preferred Option.
- 11.2 **RESOLVED:** That Cabinet approves the phased withdrawal of discretionary free bus passes for travel to denominational schools under the discretionary scheme from September 2013 in line with Option 4 which is:

'To withdraw all discretionary free bus passes to denominational schools from September 2013 except for those pupils in Years 10 and 11 during 2013/14 and Year 11 in 2014/15 who meet the existing discretionary criteria namely attendance at a denominational school, adherence to a specific denomination and meeting the statutory distance criteria.'

11.3 Reasons for Decision

- 11.3. The Council's position remains that it is facing extreme pressure on limited budgets and must make efficiencies to find £50 million of savings in this financial year with more savings to be found in future years. Within these constraints, the Council's approach is to protect where possible those services provided for the most vulnerable people in our community and to examine where they spend on discretionary provision.
- 11.3. The Council's funding of discretionary free bus passes for travel to denominational schools under the Home to School Transport Policy must therefore be reviewed in the light of the need to reduce expenditure and balanced against the Council's responsibilities to maintain vital services for the most disadvantaged. Other Councils have taken similar action to discontinue their discretionary policies in the face of such budgetary pressures.
- 11.3. The addition of Option 3 in the current consultation clearly signalled the Council's intention to mitigate against the loss of a bus pass for those most prejudicially affected, namely those on lower incomes and those entering Years 10 and 11. Having listened carefully to the feedback from the consultation, the recommendation to Cabinet was to reject all 3 options and approve the new Option 4.
- The recommendation acknowledges the feedback from the consultation
 which showed strong support for Option 2 (83%) which was a phasing of
 the withdrawal of the discretionary free bus passes. The proposal outlined

in Option 4 is a phased approach as well as being an expansion of the original Option 3. It also acknowledges that the majority of respondents (95%) thought that a transfer to another school would be disruptive and have a negative impact on educational outcomes. This proposal eliminates the need for any student entering Years 10 and 11 in 2013/14 and Year 11 in 2014/15 to have to transfer to another school as a result of losing the bus pass.

11.4 Alternatives Considered and Rejected

11.4. To approve either Option 1, 2 or 3 outlined in the report.

11.5 Any Interest Declared or Dispensation Granted

None

11.6 Reason for Exemption if Public/Press Excluded During Consideration

None

11.7 Respective Director Responsible for Implementation

Jayne Ludlam, Executive Director, Children, Young People and Families

11.8 Relevant Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee If Decision Called In

Children, Young People and Family Support

DECISION 12

12. DISPOSAL OF ERRINGTON SITES B AND C, ARBOURTHORNE

11.1 The Executive Director, Place submitted a report seeking authority to dispose of two cleared sites at Arbourthorne, referred to in the report as Errington Sites B and C, to Sanctuary Housing Association (SHA) for the development of affordable housing. The new homes would offer a relocation option for those households affected by the demolition scheme in the area (as approved by Cabinet on 8 May 2013).

11.2 **RESOLVED:** That Cabinet:-

(a) approves that the land, shown at Appendix A of the report as Errington Site B, be declared surplus to the requirements of the City Council and disposed to Sanctuary Affordable Housing Limited for use as social housing;

- (b) approves that the land now shown as Appendix A as Errington Site C be declared surplus to the requirements of the City Council and subject to the availability of further grant funding and the submission to the City Council of an acceptable scheme disposed to Sanctuary Affordable Housing Limited for use as social housing;
- (c) authorises the Director of Capital and Major Projects, in consultation with the Director of Regeneration and Development Services, to agree an acceptable scheme for Errington C; and
- (d) authorises the Director of Capital and Major Projects, in consultation with the Director of Regeneration and Development Services, to negotiate and agree terms for the disposal of the land for the purposes set out in the report including the variation of any boundaries as required and the Director of Capital and Major Projects be authorised to instruct the Director of Legal Services to complete the necessary legal documentation.

12.3 Reasons for Decision

- 12.3. The development of housing on these sites would benefit the local economy, provide opportunities for local labour and contribute to the physical regeneration of Arbourthorne. The Council would also benefit from funds generated through the New Homes Bonus scheme, which includes additional financial incentives for providing affordable homes.
- The provision of affordable housing would provide additional relocation
 options for Arbourthorne residents affected by demolition and help meet
 the identified shortfall of affordable housing in the City.

12.4 Alternatives Considered and Rejected

- 12.4. The Housing Revenue Benefit Account Business Plan includes a proposal to build 75 new Council houses over the next three years, so the Council could look to develop Errington B and C itself. However, this would require more prepatory work, which would delay the development process and mean that relocation options were not in place as quickly for residents affected by demolition. It would also mean that the HCA grant being made available via SHA would be lost to the City and that the Council would not be able to pursue new Council housing in any other areas.
- 12.4. A private housing development would not be a viable proposition in the current housing market, so the alternative is to retain the site for future disposal. Whilst this might eventually yield a capital receipt for the Council, the site would be left vacant for the foreseeable future. This would be detrimental to the regeneration of Arbourthorne and would hinder the rehousing process for those residents affected by demolition.

12.5 Any Interest Declared or Dispensation Granted

None

12.6 Reason for Exemption if Public/Press Excluded During Consideration

None

12.7 Respective Director Responsible for Implementation

Simon Green, Executive Director, Place

12.8 Relevant Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee If Decision Called In

Safer and Stronger Communities